Effects of green algal mats on bivalves in a New England mud flat
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ABSTRACT: Concurrent with the spread of green algal mats on tidal flats, reports of macrofauna die-offs under dense algal mats have increased in numbers. Bivalves seem to be particularly affected by persistent dense algal mats. Bivalve species with a long extendible siphon seem to be less affected underneath algal mats, but no distinction has been made in the past between species with short and those with long siphons. We examined effects of green algal mats on two bivalve species with relatively long siphons, Mya arenaria and Macoma balthica, on an intertidal mudflat in New England. Abundances of M. arenaria declined substantially during the study period when a thick green algal mat covered the mudflat for several months. Numbers of the small bivalve Gemma gemma also decreased substantially, whereas abundances of M. balthica showed minimal variation during the time of algal coverage. In algae removal/addition experiments numbers of M. arenaria decreased, but effects were only significant in an algal addition to previously algal-free mudflat areas. Abundance of M. balthica did not change significantly in the algal removal/addition experiments. Over the time period of the experiment (9 weeks), M. arenaria showed measurable size increase in uncovered mudflat areas, but not underneath algal mats. Similarly, M. balthica only increased in size in the uncovered mudflat area. From these results it is concluded that M. balthica can survive time periods of dense algal coverage because it is able to penetrate through the algal mat with its long extendible siphon, and thus can reach well-oxygenated water layers above the mat. M. arenaria with its thick, less extendible, siphon cannot push through dense algal mats and therefore is more likely to die underneath persistent algal mats.

INTRODUCTION

Green algae, where forming a dense mat over intertidal mud flats or shallow soft-bottoms, are detrimental to some underlying soft-bottom infauna (Perkins & Abbott, 1972; Nicholls et al., 1981; Soulsby et al., 1982; Raffaelli et al., 1991; Bonsdorff, 1992). Bivalves in particular are affected, and often die off in large numbers under mats which persist for extended time periods. Reports of bivalve die-offs repeatedly mention short-siphoned species such as Cerastoderma spp., Abra spp. and Tapes decussatus (Perkins & Abbott, 1972; Nicholls et al., 1981; Breber, 1985). Long-siphoned species such as Mya arenaria, Macoma sp. and Scrobicularia plana rarely emerge in these reports, although they comprise abundant infauna in areas where mass growth of green algae frequently occurs. We were particularly interested in the fate of the soft-shell clam Mya arenaria, which is a commercially important species along the coasts of New England. Mass growth of green algae has been observed on tidal flats in this area (Vadas & Beal, 1987; own obs.). Nega-
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tive effects of green algal mats on economically important bivalves have been suspected, but to our knowledge no studies have been conducted to examine this suspicion. Despite the substantial spread of dense green algal mats on tidal flats in many coastal areas of the world, surprisingly little is known about direct and indirect effects of these algal beds on commercially important species.

In this study we monitored the seasonal abundance of *Mya arenaria*, *Gemma gemma* and *Macoma balthica* along a tidal transect of a New England mud flat. In order to examine the effect of green algal mats on the two bivalve species with long siphons (*M. arenaria* and *M. balthica*), we conducted experiments in which we removed or added algae on the intertidal mudflats. *M. arenaria* has a relatively thick and sturdy siphon, whereas *M. balthica* has a thin and very mobile siphon. *G. gemma* has a short siphon and lives close to the sediment surface. All three species co-occur on tidal flats in New England, but only *M. arenaria* is of commercial interest. Stocks of *M. arenaria* can fluctuate substantially and the reasons for these fluctuations often remain undiscovered (Wallace, 1997). In our study we examined the impact of green algal mats on *M. arenaria* and the co-occurring *M. balthica*.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study area**

The study was conducted in Lowes Cove, an intertidal mudflat where only during the last few years dense green algal mats have been observed (own observations). *Mya arenaria*, *Macoma balthica* and *Gemma gemma* are abundant in Lowes Cove, and clam-diggers frequently exploit the soft-shell clam resources on this mudflat. Lowes Cove is a sheltered cove on the Damariscotta River Estuary, Maine, USA, where salinities are about 32, and water temperatures vary over the course of the year between −1°C and 19°C. The sediment in the cove is silty to silty clay; the organic matter content of the sediments varies between 2.5% in the intertidal and 3.5% in the shallow subtidal (Thiel, 1997). Green algae cover large parts of the mudflats during the late summer through fall, when up to 375 g dry weight m⁻² have been measured (Thiel & Watling, in press). The green alga *Enteromorpha prolifera* is the major component within the dense algal mats in Lowes Cove.

**Survey**

Sediment samples were taken with cores of 176 cm² surface area to a depth of 15 cm, and sieved with a 500-μm mesh. Six replicate samples were taken at each of 5 stations along a tidal transect from the upper intertidal to the shallow subtidal (Fig. 1). All 5 stations were sampled in May, August and November 1994 and in February/March 1995.

**Experiments**

Two experiments were conducted over a 9-week period between 2 September and 4 November 1995 when large areas of the mudflat were covered by green algae. In one experiment (= large-scale experiment) we removed algae from an area with thick algal mats, in a second experiment we established small algal mat plots in a nearby area which
Effects of green algal mats on infaunal bivalves

Fig. 1. Study area in Lowes Cove, Maine, with the five sampling stations along tidal transect, and the sites of the two experiments conducted in autumn 1995; light shading – tidal flats, dark shading – areas commonly covered by green algal mats

had not previously been covered by green algae (small-scale experiment – Fig. 1). Within each area, 6 replicate samples (176 cm² surface area) were taken to a depth of 15 cm and sieved over a 2-mm mesh. This mesh-size was selected as the 1995-recruits were almost completely absent from the experimental sites. All clams retained on the 2-mm mesh were counted and their size measured.

Large-scale experiment

We carefully cleared an area of 25 m² of its thick green algal layer (in the following termed ‘cleared’). The removed algae were placed in an immediately adjacent 25 m² area (‘double-cover’), where most of them stayed during the experimental period. Surrounding areas with undisturbed algal mats served as controls. 6 replicate samples
were taken in each of the three plot areas (the ‘cleared’, the ‘double-cover’ and the
‘control’). Sampling of the three areas was done at the beginning and end of the experi-
ment. For each plot, the Mann–Whitney U-test was used to test for differences in bivalve
abundance between the beginning and the end of the experiment. Student’s t-test
was used to test for differences in size between the beginning and the end of the experi-
ment.

**Small-scale experiment**

We collected green algae and covered 6 small experimental plots (each plot 1 m²)
with an artificial dense algal mat (‘algal plots’) in an area not covered by algal mats
during the 1995 season. The algae in these plots were kept in place by about 20 small
wooden anchors (about 1 cm in diameter and 25 cm long). In a second set of 6 plots (each
plot 1 m²) we placed only the wooden anchors as a control for potential effects of these
anchors (‘anchor-plot’). Undisturbed areas in immediate vicinity of the two sets of plots
served as controls.

Six random replicates were taken from the control area at the beginning and end of
the experiment, and one sample each was taken from each of the 6 ‘algal plots’ and from
each of the 6 ‘anchor plots’ at the end of the experiment. A one-way ANOVA was used
to test for differences between the different treatments.

**RESULTS**

**Seasonal abundance of bivalves along tidal transect**

*Mya arenaria* reached numbers of up to 6000 individuals m⁻² in the upper intertidal,
but numbers in the lower intertidal and shallow subtidal were much lower (Fig. 2). In the
upper intertidal there was a strong increase of *M. arenaria* abundance immediately after
the yearly spatfall (Fig. 2). Most newly settled *M. arenaria* disappeared during the months
following the spat fall. *Macoma balthica* showed the same spatial distribution pattern as
*M. arenaria* with highest abundances in the upper intertidal (Fig. 3). There was little
seasonal variation in *M. balthica* abundance in the upper intertidal (Fig. 3). The small
bivalve *Gemma gemma* was very abundant in the upper intertidal (Fig. 4), but numbers
decreased substantially during the time period of dense algal coverage at this station
(Fig. 4).

**Effects of algal removal/addition on Mya arenaria and Macoma balthica**

**Large-scale experiment**

Both *Mya arenaria* and *Macoma balthica* decreased in numbers over the time period
of the experiment in all three areas (control, cleared, double-cover), but the changes were
not significant (Mann–Whitney U-test, p > 0.05) (Fig. 5). In the cleared area, *M. arenaria*
significantly increased in size (t-test, p < 0.01), whereas no size change was recognizable
in the other two areas (control-cover, double-cover) over the 2-month period (t-test,
p > 0.05; Fig. 6). No significant size changes were observed for *M. balthica* (t-test, p > 0.05;
Fig. 6).
Fig. 2. Numbers of *Mya arenaria* (ind. m\(^{-2}\) ± 1 std err.) at the five different stations of the tidal transect in Lowes Cove; at each sampling date six replicates (176 cm\(^2\) surface area, 15 cm deep) were taken at each of the stations and sieved over a 500-μm mesh; samples taken in May, August and November 1994 and February/March 1995.
Fig. 3. Numbers of *Macoma balthica* (ind. m$^{-2}$ ± 1 std err.) at the five different stations of the tidal transect in Lowes Cove; see also legend to Fig. 2.
Fig. 4. Numbers of Gemma gemma (ind. m⁻² ± 1 std err.) at the five different stations of the tidal transect in Lowes Cove; see also legend to Fig. 2.
Fig. 5. Average numbers (ind. 176 cm$^{-2}$ ± 1 std err.) of (a) *Mya arenaria* and (b) *Macoma balthica* in the three different areas (cleared, double-cover and control) of the large-scale experiment; 6 replicates (176 cm$^2$ surface area, 15 cm deep) were taken in each area at the beginning and end of the experiment and sieved over a 2-mm mesh; for each plot, the Mann-Whitney U-test was used to test for differences in bivalve abundance between the beginning and the end of the experiment; n.s. p > 0.05
Fig. 6. Average sizes of *Mya arenaria* and *Macoma balthica* (in mm ± 1 std err.) in the three different areas (cleared, double-cover and control) of the large-scale experiment; all clams from the 6 replicates for each respective area and each respective sampling date were pooled; all clams retained on a 2-mm mesh included in analysis; Student's t-test was used to test for differences in size between the beginning and the end of the experiment; n.s. *p* > 0.05, *p* < 0.05
Small-scale experiment

Numbers of *Mya arenaria* in the algal plots in November were significantly different from the numbers in control plots in September (one-way ANOVA, p < 0.05), but not from those in the anchor plots and the control area in November (Fig. 7a). No significant change was observed in the abundance of *Macoma balthica* (Fig. 7b). *M. arenaria* were significantly larger in both experimental plot types and in the control plots in November than in the control plots in September (Fig. 8). In November, *M. arenaria* in the algal-free control areas were also significantly larger than those in the anchor and algal plots. Only the *M. balthica* from the control plots in November were significantly different from the control plots in September (Fig. 8).

DISCUSSION

Bivalves *Mya arenaria*, *Macoma balthica* and *Gemma gemma* decreased in abundance during the time periods of algal mat coverage in the mid intertidal. The decline in abundance was much more substantial for *M. arenaria* and *G. gemma* than for *M. balthica*. In algal removal/addition experiments no effects on *M. balthica* abundances were observed, whereas numbers of *M. arenaria* significantly decreased under a thick experimental algal mat in one of two experiments.

The mass growth of dense algal beds in many coastal areas of the world during the last decades have been related to increased levels of eutrophication (e.g. Perkins & Abbott, 1972; Buttermore, 1977; Nicholls et al., 1981; Soulsby et al., 1982; Reise, 1983, 1984; Reise et al., 1989; Raffaelli et al., 1989, 1991; Bonsdorff, 1992; Isaksson et al., 1994; Peterson et al., 1994; Reise & Siebert, 1994). Strict measures regarding sewage effluents have improved the situation in some areas (Hardy et al., 1993).

Algal mats have a significant impact on the community composition within the underlying soft-bottoms (Thrash, 1986; Everett, 1994; Norkko & Bonsdorff, 1996a, b). The dense algal mats can cause immediate death in underlying infauna due to reduced oxygen-supply and increased concentrations of toxic substances such as H₂S and NH₄⁺ (Perkins & Abbott, 1972; Nicholls et al., 1981; Soulsby et al., 1982; Raffaelli et al., 1989, 1991; Bonsdorff, 1992), they may prevent recruits from entering the sea bed (Olafsson, 1983; Bonsdorff, 1992) or they may indirectly affect the soft-bottom infauna by providing shelter to epibenthic predators (Isaksson et al., 1994). Where algal mats persist over long time periods, they start decaying on the lower side and the underlying sediments can become completely anoxic. Due to restricted exchange with the overlying well-oxygenated water column, the waters trapped under the decaying algal mats may reach hypoxic levels (Norkko & Bonsdorff, 1996a, b). Large numbers of the original soft-bottom infauna including bivalves may die off when covered by persistent algal mats. Among the bivalves, species with short siphons are most severely affected by anoxic situations under the algal mats (Perkins & Abbott, 1972; Nicholls et al., 1981; Breber, 1985; Metzmacher & Reise, 1994). In our study we did not observe any obvious detrimental effects of the algal mats on the bivalve *M. balthica*, despite the fact that the algal mats persisted for time periods of several weeks. Similarly, Hull (1987) found that *M. balthica* abundances did not significantly decrease under experimental algal plots, but Perkins & Abbott (1972), Bonsdorff (1992) and Norkko & Bonsdorff (1996b) mentioned negative effects of algal mats on *M. balthica*, particularly juvenile individuals. Under algal mats, we often observed me-
Fig. 7. Average numbers (ind. 176 cm\(^{-2}\) ± 1 std err.) of (a) *Mya arenaria* and (b) *Macoma balthica* in the two types of small-scale experimental plots (algal plots and anchor plots) and in the control plots; 6 control replicates were taken at the beginning and 6 at the end of the experiment, and at the end of the experiment one sample was taken in each of 6 replicate algal plots (each plot 1 m\(^2\)) and each of 6 replicate anchor plots (each plot 1 m\(^2\)); samples (176 cm\(^2\) surface area, 15 cm deep) were sieved over a 2-mm mesh; black lines indicate that no significant differences were found – one-way ANOVA, p > 0.05
Fig. 8. Average sizes of *Mya arenaria* and *Macoma balthica* (in mm ± 1 std err.) in algal plots, anchor plots, and in control plots of the small-scale experiment; 6 control replicates were taken at the beginning and 6 at the end of the experiment, and at the end of the experiment one sample was taken in each of 6 replicate algal plots (each plot 1 m²) and each of 6 replicate anchor plots (each plot 1 m²); clams of the 6 replicates for each respective area and each respective sampling date were pooled; all clams retained on a 2-mm mesh included in analysis; black lines connected by dotted lines indicate that no significant differences were found – one-way ANOVA, p > 0.05.
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dium-sized and apparently weakened \( M. \) arenaria lying on the sediment surface, but never \( M. \) balthica. In the small-scale experiment in which we anchored a thick algal mat at the sediment surface, many bivalves \( M. \) arenaria died during the experiment, but almost no \( M. \) balthica. With its relatively narrow, extendible siphon, \( M. \) balthica can penetrate through moderately thick algal mats and thus reach into well oxygenated water layers. With its muscular foot \( M. \) balthica may well be able to move upwards in the sediment in response to an overlying algal mat (Norkko, 1998). In contrast, \( M. \) arenaria with its relatively thick siphon and reduced mobility especially in older individuals, might not be able to push through the algal mats. Similarly, Gemma gemma, which possesses a very short siphon (hardly extending beyond the shell), disappeared almost completely during the time of dense algal coverage in 1994. Size data from our experiments provide indication that \( M. \) arenaria in algal-free areas significantly increased in size during the 9 weeks of the experiments, whereas \( M. \) arenaria under algal coverage did not grow substantially in the large-scale experiment. The apparent significant size increase in algal plots in the small-scale experiment is probably an artifact due to a significant die-off largely of medium-sized or small individuals (compare Figs 7 & 8) similar to what Norkko & Bonsdorff (1996b) discussed for \( M. \) balthica under artificial algal mats in the Baltic Sea. The apparent growth stagnancy of both \( M. \) arenaria and \( M. \) balthica under the algal mats is probably due to diminished food-supply caused by reduced water exchange under the algae. In our study we did not collect any data on the condition of the bivalves under the algal mats. Future studies urgently need to address this issue: Long-lasting coverage by algal mats may not cause immediate death but may lead to sublethal conditions for bivalves due to persistent impoverished food-supply or exposure to toxic substances (e.g. \( \text{NH}_4^+ \); cf. Foolad, 1983, cited in Hull, 1987).

CONCLUSIONS

The bivalves \( Mya \) arenaria and \( Macoma \) balthica suffer under dense algal mats. Persistent algal mats can cause immediate death in \( M. \) arenaria, but not necessarily in \( M. \) balthica. Experiments provide indication for inhibited growth and sublethal effects on both species under algal mats. Effects of green algal mats on intertidal mud flats on the growth potential of these and other bivalves need to be addressed in future studies.
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